



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/037,572 | 11/09/2001 | Costas D. Maranas | P05413US4 | 6518 |
| 27407 | 7590 11/03/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| , | OORHEES & SEASE | MAHATAN, | MAHATAN, CHANNING | |
| ATTN: PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY 801 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3200 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721 | | | 1631 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| Notice of Aboudousens | 10/037,572 | MARANAS ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Channing S Mahatan | 1631 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | vith the correspondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply was received on, but it doe | Mailing or Transmission dat f month(s)) which ex | ed), which is after the expiration of the pired on |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | on consists only of: (1) a timed Notice of Appeal (with ap | ely filed amendment which places the |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | titute a proper reply, or a bon | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | · | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | -85). as received on (with | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan | ce of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if requi | red by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red Allowability (PTO-37). | • | • |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Maili | ng or Transmission dated), which is |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by t the applicants. | he attorney or agent of recor | d, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting i | n a representative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | nd because the period for seeking court review |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below: | | MICHAEL P. WOODWARD |
| See Continuation Sheet | C Ma | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER |
| | C. Ma October | 25, 2004 |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd | traw the holding of abandonmen | t under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A voicemail message was left for Applicants' Representative, John D. Goodhue, inquirying about the status of the instant application. It was indicated no response has been received to the 'Final Office Action' mailed 22 March 2004, and that the case appears abandoned. Applicants' Representative was given until the close of business 25 October 2004 to respond to this inquiry, otherwise, the case will be considered abandoned. No response has been received therefore the case is considered ABANDONED.